

Digital Communication in the Modern World First Semester 2004-5

<http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/~com1>
com1@cs.huji.ac.il

Some of the slides have been borrowed from:
Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach Featuring the Internet,
2nd edition,
Jim Kurose, Keith Ross
Addison-Wesley, July 2002.

Computer Communication 2004-5

1

Administrative Matters

Reception hours:

- Teacher – Danny Bickson: Sunday 11:00-12:00 at Ross 107,
Phone: 85706
email: daniel51@cs
- Assistant – Ariel Daliot: Thursday 11:00-12:00 at Ross 106,
Phone: 85770
email: com1@cs or adaliot@cs



2

Course Policy and Grading

- This is an elective course giving a credit of 4 points.
- There will be 3 practical exercises and 2 theoretical exercises. Two of the practical exercise must be done in Java, one in C/C++.
- You can write the exercises on whatever platform you choose but they must be able to run on Linux.
- All the exercises can be done in pairs except Ex 1.
- All exercises submission is mandatory. Students that will get less than 55 in the exercises will not be able to take the exam.

Computer Communication 2004-5

3

Course Policy and Grading

- The exercises will comprise 60% of the final grade. The final exam will be 40%.
- The weight of each exercise is published in the course home page
- Late submission will result in 3 points penalty per day (not including Friday/Saturday).

Computer Communication 2004-5

4

Reserve Duty

- Students that are in reserve duty for less than three weeks during the semester will be given an appropriate extension for one or more home exercises.
- Students that are in reserve duty for more than three weeks during the semester will be released from one home exercise. Additionally an extension to the other exercises will be given if necessary.

Computer Communication 2004-5

5

Exercise submission


- The practical exercises will be submitted electronically.
- For each project create a tar file called *ex#.tar* (i.e. *ex1.tar*). Submit it from the administrative web page.
- Every submitted exercise (including the theoretical) automatically gets 3 bonus points for not appealing.

Computer Communication 2004-5

6

A general look at network structure

- **network edge:**
applications and hosts
- **network core:**
 - routers
 - network of networks
- **physical media:**
communication links



Computer Communication 2004-5

7

A Network vs. A Network Algorithm

- Network (Distributed) Algorithm: the steps performed for solving a problem between elements that communicate
- The network is there to serve the network algorithm
- A "good" network vastly eases the design of network algorithms
- The communication can make the difference between being able to solve a problem easily and not being able to solve it at all

Computer Communication 2004-5

8

Human Analogy

- Similarly, communication between people is a mean or tool for something higher
- Two individual groups wanting to settle an age-old dispute can be greatly facilitated by "good" communication



Computer Communication 2004-5

9

Networking Course Objectives

- The "language" that is spoken between two network elements is called a **Protocol** (E.g. TCP/IP, UDP/IP, HTTP, FTP, PPP, ...)
- In this course we focus more on the network core and its protocols and less on the network algorithms that use the network (*the applications*)
- I.e. focus more on how to efficiently communicate and less on how to efficiently solve problems through communication

Computer Communication 2004-5

10

Understanding networking by understanding the Internet

Computer Communication 2004-5

11

The Network Edge Client-server paradigm


Typical network app has two pieces: *client* and *server*

Client:

- initiates contact with server ("speaks first")
- typically requests service from server,
- Web: client implemented in browser; e-mail: in mail reader

Server:

- provides requested service to client
- Web: server sends requested Web page; e-mail: server delivers e-mail



Computer Communication 2004-5

12

Classes of protocols between hosts

Computer Communication 2004-5

13


Connectionless/Connection-oriented protocols

- **Connection-oriented:** The Internet's connection-oriented protocol is TCP.

a human protocol



computer network protocol



14

TCP - Transmission Control Protocol [RFC 793]

- **Reliable.** In-order byte-stream data transfer. Loss handled by acknowledgements and retransmissions.
- **Flow control.** Sender will not overwhelm receiver.
- **Congestion control.** Senders "slow down" sending rate when network congested.
- Apps using TCP: HTTP (WWW), FTP (File transfer protocols), Telnet (remote login), SMTP (email)

Computer Communication 2004-5

15

Connectionless/Connection-oriented protocols

- **Connectionless**
 - Between people:
When someone is making a speech or reading the news on radio; sending e-mails
 - Between computers:
The Internet's connectionless protocol is UDP

Computer Communication 2004-5

16

UDP - User Datagram Protocol [RFC 768]

- Unreliable data transfer
- No flow control
- No congestion control
- Apps using UDP: streaming media (real-player), teleconferencing, Internet telephony

Computer Communication 2004-5

17


The Network Core How is data transferred through net?



18

The Network Core

- mesh of interconnected routers
- the fundamental question: how is data transferred through net?
 - **circuit switching**: dedicated circuit per call: telephone net
 - **packet-switching**: data sent thru net in discrete "chunks"




Computer Communication 2004-5

19

Network Core: Circuit Switching

End-to-end resources reserved for "call"

- link bandwidth, switch capacity
- dedicated resources: no sharing
- circuit-like (guaranteed) performance
- call setup required



Computer Communication 2004-5

20

Network Core: Circuit Switching

network resources
(e.g., bandwidth)

**divided into
"pieces"**

- pieces allocated to calls
- resource piece *idle* if not used by owning call (*no sharing*)


- dividing the link bandwidth into "pieces" through
 - frequency division
 - time division

Computer Communication 2004-5


21

Circuit Switching: FDMA and TDMA

FDMA



TDMA



22

Network Core: Packet Switching

each end-end data stream
divided into packets

- user A, B packets *share* network resources
- each packet uses full link bandwidth
- resources used *as needed*

~~Bandwidth division into
"pieces"
Dedicated allocation
Resource reservation~~


resource contention:

- aggregate resource demand can exceed amount available
- congestion: packets queue, wait for link use
- store and forward: packets move one hop at a time
 - transmit over link
 - wait turn at next link

Computer Communication 2004-5

23

Packet Switching: Statistical Multiplexing




Sequence of A & B packets does not have fixed pattern → **statistical multiplexing**.
In TDM each host gets same slot in revolving TDM frame.

24

Packet switching versus circuit switching

Packet switching allows more users to use network!

- 1 Mbit link
- each user:
 - uses 100 kbps when "active"
 - active 10% of time
- circuit-switching:
 - 10 users
- packet switching:
 - with 35 users, probability > 10 active less than 0.0004



Computer Communication 2004-5

25

Packet switching versus circuit switching

Is packet switching a winner?

- Great for bursty data
 - resource sharing
 - simpler, no call setup
- Excessive congestion: packet delay and loss
 - protocols needed for reliable data transfer, congestion control
- Q: How to provide circuit-like behavior?
 - bandwidth guarantees needed for audio/video apps
 - still an unsolved problem (chapter 6 - Kurose)

Computer Communication 2004-5

26

Packet-switching: store-and-forward

- Entire packet must arrive at router before it can be transmitted on next link: *store and forward*
- Takes L/R seconds to transmit (push out) packet of L bits on to a link of R bps
- delay = $3L/R$




Example:

- $L = 7.5$ Mbits
- $R = 1.5$ Mbps
- delay = 15 sec

Computer Communication 2004-5

27

Packet Switching: Message Segmenting



Now break up the message into 5000 packets

- Each packet 1,500 bits
- 1 msec to transmit packet on one link
- *pipelining*: each link works in parallel
- Delay reduced from 15 sec to 5.002 sec

Computer Communication 2004-5

28

Packet-switched networks: forwarding

- **Goal:** move packets through routers from source to destination
 - we'll study several path selection (i.e. routing) algorithms (chapter 4 - Kurose)
- **datagram network:**
 - *destination address* in packet determines next hop
 - routes may change during session
 - analogy: driving, asking directions
- **virtual circuit network:**
 - each packet carries tag (virtual circuit ID), tag determines next hop
 - fixed path determined at *call setup time*, remains fixed thru call
 - *routers maintain per-call state*

29

Reliability of Data Transfer Delay and Loss




30

How do loss and delay occur?

packets *queue* in router buffers


- **packet arrival rate to link exceeds output link capacity**
- packets queue, wait for turn



31

Four sources of packet delay

- **1. nodal processing:**
 - check bit errors
 - determine output link
- **2. queuing**
 - time waiting at output link for transmission
 - depends on congestion level of router



Computer Communication 2004-5

32

Delay in packet-switched networks


3. Transmission delay:

- R = link bandwidth (bps)
- L = packet length (bits)
- time to send bits into link = L/R

4. Propagation delay:


- d = length of physical link
- s = propagation speed in medium ($\sim 2 \times 10^8$ m/sec)
- propagation delay = d/s

Note: s and R are very different quantities!



33

Caravan analogy




- Cars "propagate" at 100 km/hr
- Toll booth takes 12 sec to service a car (transmission time)
- car ~ bit; caravan ~ packet
- Q: How long until caravan is lined up before 2nd toll booth?
- A: 62 minutes
- Time to "push" entire caravan through toll booth onto highway = $12 \times 10 = 120$ sec
- Time for last car to propagate from 1st to 2nd toll booth: $100 \text{ km} / (100 \text{ km/hr}) = 1 \text{ hr}$

Computer Communication 2004-5

34

Caravan analogy (more)



- Cars now "propagate" at 1000 km/hr
- Toll booth now takes 1 min to service a car
- Q: Will cars arrive to 2nd booth before all cars serviced at 1st booth?
- Yes! After 7 min, 1st car at 2nd booth and 3 cars still at 1st booth.
- 1st bit of packet can arrive at 2nd router before packet is fully transmitted at 1st router!

Computer Communication 2004-5

35

Nodal delay

$$d_{\text{nodal}} = d_{\text{proc}} + d_{\text{queue}} + d_{\text{trans}} + d_{\text{prop}}$$

- d_{proc} = processing delay
 - typically a few microseconds or less
- d_{queue} = queuing delay
 - depends on congestion
- d_{trans} = transmission delay
 - $= L/R$, significant for low-speed links
- d_{prop} = propagation delay
 - a few microseconds to hundreds of msec


Computer Communication 2004-5

36

Queueing delay (revisited)

- R =link bandwidth (bps)
- L =packet length (bits)
- a =average packet arrival rate

traffic intensity = $L a / R$



- $L a / R \sim 0$: average queueing delay small
- $L a / R \rightarrow 1$: delays become large
- $L a / R > 1$: more "work" arriving than can be serviced, average delay infinite!

Computer Communication 2004-5

37

Packet loss

- queue (aka buffer) preceding link in buffer has finite capacity
- when packet arrives to full queue, packet is dropped (aka lost)
- lost packet may be retransmitted by previous node, by source end system, or not retransmitted at all

Computer Communication 2004-5

38

"Real" Internet delays and routes

- What do "real" Internet delay & loss look like?
- **Traceroute program**: provides delay measurement from source to router along end-to-end Internet path towards destination. For all i :
 - sends three packets that will reach router i on path towards destination
 - router i will return packets to sender
 - sender times interval between transmission and reply.



Computer Communication 2004-5

39

"Real" Internet delays and routes

traceroute: gaia.cs.umass.edu to www.eurecom.fr

Three delay measurements from gaia.cs.umass.edu to cs-gw.umass.edu

```

1  cs-gw (128.119.240.254)  1 ms  1 ms  2 ms
2  border1-rt-fa5-1-0.gw.umass.edu (128.119.3.145)  1 ms  1 ms  2 ms
3  ch1-vbns.gw.umass.edu (128.119.3.130)  6 ms  5 ms  5 ms
4  jn1-at1-0-0-19.wor.vbns.net (204.147.132.129)  16 ms  11 ms  13 ms
5  jn1-so7-0-0-0.wae.vbns.net (204.147.136.136)  21 ms  18 ms  18 ms
6  abilene-vbns.abilene.ucaid.edu (198.32.11.9)  22 ms  18 ms  22 ms
7  nycm-wash.abilene.ucaid.edu (198.32.8.46)  22 ms  22 ms  22 ms
8  62.40.103.253 (62.40.103.253)  104 ms  109 ms  106 ms
9  de2-1.de1.de.geant.net (62.40.96.129)  109 ms  102 ms  104 ms
10 de-fr1.fr.geant.net (62.40.96.50)  113 ms  121 ms  114 ms
11 renater-gw-fr1.fr.geant.net (62.40.103.54)  112 ms  114 ms  112 ms
12 nio-n2.cssi.renater.fr (193.51.206.13)  111 ms  114 ms  116 ms
13 nice.cssi.renater.fr (195.220.98.102)  123 ms  125 ms  124 ms
14 r312-nice.cssi.renater.fr (195.220.98.110)  126 ms  126 ms  124 ms
15 eurecom-valbonne.r312.fr.net (193.48.50.54)  135 ms  128 ms  133 ms
16 194.214.211.25 (194.214.211.25)  126 ms  128 ms  126 ms
17 ***
18 ***
19 fantasia.eurecom.fr (193.55.113.142)  132 ms  128 ms  136 ms
    
```

* means no response (probe lost, router not replying)

40